

Oak Ridge Regulatory Partnership Framework  
Leadership Team  
Emerging Issues Team (EIT)

Draft Meeting Agenda  
April 22, 2021 Meeting

- |      |  |                  |
|------|--|------------------|
| 2:00 | Welcome and Introductions  | Jay Mullis       |
| 2:10 | EMDF Schedule Overview   | Ken Rueter, UCOR |
|      | Impacts of Potential Stimulus Funding  |                  |
|      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Will likely pressure regulators to move quickly on ROD so DOE can take advantage of potential stimulus funding, should any be available.</li><li>• EPA supports moving forward quickly, but several issues are outstanding/still being developed (WAC under development; need to stakeholder outreach; rad discharge limits being developed)</li><li>• Does UCOR hire locally?</li></ul>                     |                  |
| 2:30 | Dispute Resolution/Discharge Limit Progress  | EIT              |
|      | Dispute Resolution Agreement Team (DRAT)   |                  |
|      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Moving quickly, working together, still several thorny issues ahead. Project team and EIT discussing achieving instream water quality at the fishing hole vs throughout the creek.</li></ul>   |                  |
|      | EMDF Mercury Proposal/Counter Proposal Progress  | EIT              |
|      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Good progress; several important areas of agreement.</li><li>• Unresolved: treating all wastewater vs only treating wastewater that exceeds the Hg AWQC (51ppt);</li><li>• Primarily a TDEC/DOE matter regarding implementing the state anti-degradation regulation. State regs are ARARs, so EPA has a role. EPA has not, thus far, endorsed the TDEC solution. EPA owes TDEC and DOE an opinion.</li></ul> |                  |
| 2:40 | Getting to Signed ROD  | Jay Mullis       |
|      | D1 Versus D2 ROD Schedule  |                  |
|      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Review times – parties have previously agreed to longer than standard review time for the first draft (D1). DOE is proposing a 5 month review time for the D1. <i>agree</i></li><li>• DOE is proposing finalizing ROD without rad discharge limits, and adding discharge limits in a post ROD modification. EPA has not agreed to this approach.</li></ul>   |                  |
|      | Waste Acceptance Criteria  |                  |
|      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The waste acceptance criteria for the new landfill has been discussed conceptually. There are several areas of agreement (for example no Hg hazardous waste will be disposed). DOE is working on a draft WAC; EPA plans to review it when it is submitted.</li></ul>   |                  |
|      | Groundwater Field Study Progress   |                  |
|      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Post ROD field study to demonstrate groundwater level resulting from site preparation. The ROD will include the requirement for the field study. The work plan for the study will come after the ROD.</li><li>• Results of the field study will go into the design.</li></ul>  |                  |

Getting to signed ROD - Other – not on agenda but priority for EPA

- community acceptance. A lot of new information since the 2018 proposed plan. This information should be shared with stakeholders, ideally before the ROD is signed.

|      |  |                      |
|------|--|----------------------|
| 3:00 | EMDF Schedule Challenges/Opportunities<br>Internal DOE Decision (CD-3A)/Site Prep Activities<br>CD-3A means critical decision 3 – it is an internal DOE funding process. | Jay/Ken              |
| 3:30 | Heritage Center EUs – Go Green   | Ken Rueter           |
| 3:45 | Planning for Next Leadership Team Meeting<br>Close Out Summary   | All<br>Shelly Wilson |